From: Sent: Chris Schumann [cschumann@twp-lic.com] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 10:11 AM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

As an example, look at the wide availability of devices that handle digital audio: MP3 players, CD recorders, home media storage units and so much more. All of this is possible because there is no technological restriction of my rights to the data on a CD I buy. Giving away copies is already illegal.

Adding a technical barrier is also likely to have a minor impact on large pirating operations, while it will heavily affect my ability to enjoy digital television at home. It may even make me a criminal for trying to make backups for my own use.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I will actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Chris Schumann 1634 McAfee St. Saint Paul, MN 55106 USA

From: Sent: William Jenuwine [jenuwinewf@peoplepc.com]

Tuesday, October 28, 2003 10:01 AM

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

William Jenuwine 1274 Tennyson Troy, MI 48083 USA

From: Sent: Margot Durrett [xxyyzzyyxx@comcast.net] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 9:57 AM

To: KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

From: Sent: Robert Harrison [robbhar@comcast.net] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 9:53 AM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Robert Harrison 4642 S. Lewiston Way Aurora, CO 80015 USA

From: Sent: Robert Harrison [robbhar@comcast.net] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 9:53 AM

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Robert Harrison 4642 S. Lewiston Way Aurora, CO 80015 USA

From: Sent: Michael Lunsford [mlunsford@stny.rr.com]

Tuesday, October 28, 2003 9:38 AM

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Michael Lunsford 493 Waverly St Waverly, NY 14892 USA

From: Sent: Gary DuVall [duvallg@op9.net] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 9:29 AM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Gary DuVall 1150 Collier Road NW Apartment C3 Atlanta, GA 30318 USA

From: Sent: Mike Fisher [fishersocial@earthlink.net] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 8:55 AM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Mike Fisher 9304 Harlow Creek Road Huntersville, NC 28078

From: Sent: Patrick Ward [wardo10@cox.net] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 8:36 AM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Patrick Ward 4664 Pierce St Omaha, NE 68106 USA

From: Sent: Dwane Ballard [dwane_ballard@bigfoot.com]

Tuesday, October 28, 2003 8:36 AM

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Dwane Ballard 4092 Preston Lakes Circle Celina, TX 75009 USA

From: Sent: Dave True [truebluedave@hotmail.com] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 8:25 AM

To:

Michael Copps

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Dave True 1012 Commanche Drive Ashland, KY 41102 USA

From: Glenn Townsend [glenntownsend@yahoo.com]

Sent: Tuesday, October 28, 2003 8:21 AM

To: KAQuinn

Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Glenn Townsend 145 Waltham St Maynard, MA 01754

From: Sent: Jim Gutterman [jim@x-gecko.com] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 8:08 AM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Jim Gutterman 17314 30th Dr SE Bothell, WA 98012

From: Sent: Robert LaFerla [robertlaferla@comcast.net]

Tuesday, October 28, 2003 7:49 AM

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Robert LaFerla 75 Cambridge Pkwy Cambridge, MA 02142 USA

From: Sent: Philippe Ferrucci [elwoodb2@free.fr] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 6:27 AM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Philippe Ferrucci 27 Rue de la Villette Lyon, 69003 France

From: Sent: Ivan Moon [imoon2@verizon.net] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 6:04 AM

To:

Michael Copps

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Ivan Moon 891 Henry Ave. Langhorne, PA 19047

From: Sent: To: David Gunnells [phish@marko.net] Tuesday, December 16, 2003 1:49 PM

KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

December 16, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

David Gunnells 329 MOORE CIR Auburn, AL 36830 USA

From: Sent: Rod Britten [relayer2001@lycos.com] Friday, December 12, 2003 3:33 PM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

December 12, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Rod Britten 269 South Western Avenue #259 Los Angeles, CA 90004 USA

From: Sent: Nate Findley [nathan@sea.plala.or.jp] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 6:10 AM

To: Subject: Michael Copps Thank You

Mr. Michael Copps,

Thank you for taking time out of your busy schedule to reply. It is very reassuring that you are looking at the future of the internet from a technical, socially open-minded perspective. I am admittedly ignorant when it comes to the technical intricacies of the internet, but I am concerned about and do recognize what will happen if access points begin to filter what and who gets onto the internet. I currently live in Japan and I have traveled to other countries outside of the United States. With every place that I have gone to, it has been the internet that keeps me connected to my friends and family thousands of miles away. This simple act has such far reaching power. That power is something that I hope will continue to flourish. Please, do all that you can to push for creating a legal foundation of openness beneath the internet. While there is no single cure for the world's ailements, I cannot express how deeply I believe a free and open internet will go to interconnect and develop positive relationships between the people, communities, and nations of our world. Essentially, when we have access to more sources of information, we are only stronger as a people.

I wanted to comment about the upcoming decision regarding electronic equipment and broadcast flags. Quite obviously we have a situation in which a business model and a technologies paths' seem to be on the verge of crossing and, should that crossing take place, there may be a drastic effect; maybe a new pattern in sales, a new approach in distribution, or maybe even a new technology will emerge. I find it disturbing, however, that because the future may harbor change for the business model, it expects that it should be able to take inhibitive action against the technologies inherent qualities. Specifically, that the movie industry expects that it should be able to mandate how any digital technology takes shape because the music industries business model may be adversely affected in the future seems ludicrous to me. While it has been said before, there was a philisophical reason that railroad companies were not allo wed to suppress the creation of the automobile. Does anyone look back and feel that things should have been otherwise? Undoubtedly there are countless examples of established companies trying to undermine paradigm shifts that could adversely affect how they do business or how much business they do. Certainly, a user could upload a television show to the internet and an then another user could download that show, but, then again, had the certain decisions been made years ago, rental shops would not trickle the American landscape. always a good thing. I would like to hear about what you think regard ing this issue.

Thank you for your earnest efforts,

Nathan Findley

From: Sent: Dan Ruccia [roochball2@yahoo.com] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 2:52 AM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 27, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Dan Ruccia 1036 Grandon Ave Bexley, OH 43209 USA

From: Sent: Michael Russo [mrusso7276@hotmail.com]

Tuesday, October 28, 2003 1:49 AM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 27, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Michael Russo 252 Old Kingston Road New Paltz, NY 12561 USA

From:

William McRae [wsmcrae@mindspring.com]

Sent: To:

Tuesday, October 28, 2003 1:10 AM Michael Copps

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 27, 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

William McRae 257 Colonial Homes Dr. NW Atlanta, GA 30309 USA

From: Sent: Theresa Ramseyer [tlr28@ipa.net] Tuesday, October 28, 2003 12:56 AM

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 27, 2003

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Theresa Ramseyer 504 S Main Carl Junction, MO 64834 USA

From: Sent: Joseph T. Richardson [jtr280@bellsouth.net]

Tuesday, October 28, 2003 12:20 AM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 27, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Joseph T. Richardson 3307 Cedar Cove SW Decatur, AL 35603 USA